

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/543,536 | 04/05/2000 | Goran Rune | 2380-225 7185 | |
| 7: | 590 11/20/2002 | | | |
| Nixon & Vanderhye PC | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1100 North N (8th Floor | | | GESESSE, TILAHUN | |
| Arlington, VA | 22201 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2685 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 11 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 055 | 09/543,536 | RUNE ET AL. | Ν' | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Tilahun B Gesesse | 2685 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 86(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTh cause the application to become ABA | ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this con NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u> | pril 2000 . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | ex parte Quayre, 1999 O.D. | 11, 400 0.0. 210. | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep | • | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | armici. | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 H S C & | 110(a) (d) or (f) | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 55 0.5.6. § | 119(a)-(u) or (i). | | | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | | olication No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | <u></u> | tane | | | | | |
| application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | uge | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional a | application). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | • • | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. | 5) Notice of Inf | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s ormal Patent Application (PTO- | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boudreaux (us 6,466,556).

As to claims 1-2,7,9,13, Boudreaux discloses a method of performing, for a telecommunication service (fig.1), relocation of a role of a serving radio network controller (SRNC)(303) from a first radio network controller (RNC)(303) to a second radio network controller (301)(figs.3-6), wherein for the service for which the relocation occurs the first radio network controller signals to the second radio network controller information for linking a transport channel utilized for the service with a radio access (RAB) for the service (col.7 lines 45-65). As to claim 3, 14, Boudreaux discloses signaling the information for linking the transport channel utilized for the service with a

Application/Control Number: 09/543,536

Art Unit: 2685

J.,

radio access bearer (RAB) for the service at a time when a user equipment unit (UE) (312) involved in the service is not changing cells (it due to point of attachment the handover and relocation to new RNC takes place not due to change of cells, col. 5 line 44-col.6 line6). As to claim 4,11,15 Boudreaux discloses the signaling from the first radio network controller to the second radio network controller occurs via a core network (110) (fig.1B). As to claim 5,16, Boudreaux discloses the transport channel utilized for the service is a dedicated transport channel (DCH) (col.4 lines 38-49). As to claims 6,8,10,17, 19-20, Boudreaux discloses the first radio network controller signals to the second radio network controller information for linking uplink and downlink transport channels (TrCHs) utilized for the service with a radio access bearer (RAB) for the service (fig.3-6). As to claims 12 and 18, Boudreaux discloses everything as explained above, and further more, Boudreaux discloses handover procedure which is analogous to relocation procedure (col.6 line 60-col.7 line13).

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Einola et al (us 6,438,370) disclose relocation (handover) from old SRNC to new SRNC (fig.4).

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/543,536

Art Unit: 2685

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-6042 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor, (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun Gesesse whose telephone number is (703) 308-5873..

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban, can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

TBG

Nov. 14, 2002

7ilahun Gesesse

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600